UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,617	09/29/2004	Pao-Yun Tang	HANP0006USA	5616
	7590 02/08/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		M				
4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/711,617	TANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Nguyen	2871			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/22	<u>2/06</u> .	•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	·			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be determined.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/23/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' amendment dated 11/22/2006 has been received and entered. By the amendment, claims 1, 3-6, 8-12 and newly added claims 13-19 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakaki, US Patent No. 7,002,812.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 10-12 are clearly anticipated by Sakaki's figures 11A-11B and accompanying text which discloses a liquid crystal module (100) comprising a glass substrate (panel 31) having a display area (inside panel 31) and a peripheral area (peripheral panel 31), a gate driver chip (40), a source driver chip (36); wherein the gate/source driver chip(s) are mounted on the glass substrate (i.e., mounted over the peripheral area 31).

It should be note that the limitation of "a chip-on-glass technology" recites one step of the processing in a device claim. Therefore, this limitation has not been given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,617 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

4. Claims 8, 9 and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakaki, US Patent No. 7,002,812, in view of Sato, US 2006/0097380 A1.

Regarding claims 8, 9 and 13-19, Sakaki discloses the claimed invention as described above except for the thickness of the gate/source driver chip is less than 0.3mm. Sato does disclose a gate/source driver chip (IC chip 9) having a thickness of 0.140mm (paragraph [0067], line 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a gate/source driver chip having a thickness less than 0.3mm as shown by Sato in order to make a portable display since the IC chip thickness can be reduced as thin as less than 0.3mm.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicants' arguments filed 11/22/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that the mechanism for mounting driver chips on a substrate taught by Sakaki is significantly different from the claimed invention (i.e., a chip-on-glass technology). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's view point. In particular, Sakaki might not use chip on glass (COG) for mounting the driver chip(s); however, as noted above, the presence of process limitations on product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965).

Art Unit: 2871

In addition, in response to Applicants' argument that Sakaki fails to teach the thickness of the driver chip. It noted that Sato does disclose such a thickness of the driver chip (IC chip) as noted above.

Accordingly, the rejection of the above claims stand.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DN 02/05/2007

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871